



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

化碱性磷酸酶 经收收 经的现代股份 CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR <u>াজ্ঞান্ত না এক কেবলী সংক্ষেত্র</u>

OPFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS. 428 Type Street M IV (U.J.R. And Floor) Wazlungton, D.C. 2003b

Inle:

A78 648 703

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: MAY 02 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as an Outstanding Professor or Researcher pursuant to Section

203(b)(1)(B) of the family attenual Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(1)(B)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:





INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. At identificate have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must store the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any portinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 36 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have now or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the responde proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or politiques. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a file of \$110 as required under \$ C F R, 103.7.

> FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, EXAMINATIONS (1997)

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary as an outstanding researcher pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(1)(B). The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary is recognized internationally as outstanding in his academic field, as required for classification as an outstanding researcher.

Review of Service records indicates that, subsequent to fiting the instant petition, the petitioner filed another Form I-140 petition seeking a different classification on the beneficiary's behalf, with receipt number LIN 00 215 52158. Service records further indicate that the second petition was approved on August 22, 2000. The alien subsequently filed a Form I-485 Application to Adjust Status, which was approved on March 26, 2002. Because the alien has adjusted to lawful permanent resident status, further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed, based on the alien's adjustment to lawful permanent resident status.